On Graphs that are not PCGs

Stephane Durocher^{§1}, Debajyoti Mondal^{§2}, and Md. Saidur Rahman^{†3}

[§]Department of Computer Science, University of Manitoba [†]Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Graph Drawing & Information Visualization Laboratory, Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology {durocher,jyoti}@cs.umanitoba.ca, saidurrahman@cse.buet.ac.bd

Abstract. Let T be an edge weighted tree and let d_{min}, d_{max} be two nonnegative real numbers. Then the pairwise compatibility graph (PCG) of T is a graph G such that each vertex of G corresponds to a distinct leaf of T and two vertices are adjacent in G if and only if the weighted distance between their corresponding leaves in T is in the interval $[d_{min}, d_{max}]$. Similarly, a given graph G is a PCG if there exist suitable T, d_{min}, d_{max} , such that G is a PCG of T. Yanhaona, Bayzid and Rahman proved that there exists a graph with 15 vertices that is not a PCG. On the other hand, Calamoneri, Frascaria and Sinaimeri proved that every graph with at most seven vertices is a PCG. In this paper we construct a graph of eight vertices that is not a PCG, which strengthens the result of Yanhaona, Bayzid and Rahman, and implies optimality of the result of Calamoneri, Frascaria and Sinaimeri. We then construct a planar graph with sixteen vertices that is not a PCG. Finally, we prove a variant of the PCG recognition problem to be NP-complete.

1 Introduction

Let T be an edge weighted tree and let d_{min}, d_{max} be two nonnegative real numbers. Then the *pairwise compatibility graph* (*PCG*) of T is a graph G such that each vertex of G corresponds to a distinct leaf of T and two vertices are adjacent in G if and only if the weighted distance between their corresponding leaves in T is in the interval $[d_{min}, d_{max}]$. Similarly, a given graph G is a PCG if there exist suitable T, d_{min}, d_{max} , such that G is a PCG of T. Figure 1(a) illustrates an edge weighted tree T, and Figure 1(b) shows the corresponding PCG G, where $d_{min} = 2$ and $d_{max} = 3.5$. Figure 1(c) shows another edge weighted tree T' such that G is a PCG of T' when $d_{min} = 1.5$ and $d_{max} = 2$.

In 2003, Kearney et al. [7] introduced the concept of PCG and showed how to use them to model evolutionary relationships among a set of organisms. Moreover, they proved that the problem of finding a maximal clique can be solved

¹ Work of the author is supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC).

 $^{^{2}}$ Work of the author is supported in part by a University of Manitoba Graduate Fellowship.

³ Work of the author is supported in part by the Ministry of Science and Information & Communication Technology, Government of Bangladesh.

 $\mathbf{2}$



Fig. 1. (a) An edge weighted tree T. (b) A PCG G of T, where $d_{min} = 2$, $d_{max} = 3.5$. (c) Another edge weighted tree T' such that G is a PCG of T' when $d_{min} = 1.5$, $d_{max} = 2$.

in polynomial time for pairwise compatibility graphs if one can find their corresponding edge weighted trees in polynomial time. They hoped that every graph is a PCG, but later, Yanhaona et al. [12] constructed a 15-vertex graph that is not a PCG. Several researchers have attempted to characterize pairwise compatibility graphs. Yanhaona et al. [13] proved that graphs having cycles as its maximal biconnected components are PCGs. Salma and Rahman [10] proved that every triangle free maximum degree three outerplanar graph is a PCG. Calamoneri et al. [5] gave some sufficient conditions for a split matrogenic graph to be a PCG, and examined the graph classes that arise from using the intervals $[0, d_{max}]$ (LPG) and $[d_{min}, \infty]$ (mLPG). They proved that the intersection of these classes is not empty, and neither of them is contained in the other. The graph classes LPG, mLPG and PCG are similar to the leaf powers and their variants, which have been extensively studied in the literature [1–3, 6, 8, 9]. For example, the complement of PCG and the graph class LPG are closely related to the exact k-leaf powers, (k, l)-leaf powers and k-leaf powers, respectively.

Finding a pairwise compatibility tree of a given graph appeared to be difficult, even for graphs with few vertices. Kearney et al. [7] showed that every graph with at most five vertices is a PCG. The smallest graph known not to be a PCG is a 15-vertex graph constructed by Yanhaona et al. [12]. This is a bipartite graph with partite sets A and B, where |A| = 5 and |B| = 10, and each subset of three vertices of A is adjacent to a distinct vertex of B. Recently, Calamoneri et al. [4] proved that every graph with at most seven vertices is a PCG.

In this paper we construct a graph of eight vertices that is not a PCG, which strengthens the result of Yanhaona et al. [12], and implies optimality of the result of Calamoneri et al. [4]. We then construct a planar graph with sixteen vertices that is not a PCG; this is the first planar graph known not to be a PCG. Finally, we prove a variant of the PCG recognition problem to be NP-complete.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we introduce some definitions and review some relevant results.

Let G = (V, E) be a graph with vertex set V and edge set E. The complement graph \overline{G} of G is the graph with vertex set V and edge set \overline{E} , where \overline{E} consists of the edges that are determined by the non-adjacent pairs of vertices of G.

Let T be an edge weighted tree. Let u and v two leaves of T. By P_{uv} we denote the unique path between u and v in T. By $d_T(u, v)$ we denote the weighted distance between u and v, i.e., the sum of the weights of the edges on P_{uv} . Let d_{min}, d_{max} be two nonnegative real numbers. Then by $PCG(T, d_{min}, d_{max})$ we denote the PCG of T that respects the interval $[d_{min}, d_{max}]$. By $T_{x_1x_2...x_t}$ we denote the subgraph of T induced by the paths $P_{x_ix_j}$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq t$. Figures 2(a)–(b) illustrate an example of such a subgraph.

Lemma 1 (Yanhaona et al. [12]). Let T be an edge weighted tree, and let u, vand w be three leaves of T such that P_{uv} is the longest path in T_{uvw} . Let x be a leaf of T other than u, v and w. Then $d_T(w, x) \leq d_T(u, x)$, or $d_T(w, x) \leq d_T(v, x)$.

Let $G = PCG(T, d_{min}, d_{max})$. Then by u' we denote the vertex of G that corresponds to the leaf u of T. The following lemma illustrates a relationship between a PCG and its corresponding edge weighted tree, which holds based on the proof of [12, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma 2. Let $G = PCG(T, d_{min}, d_{max})$. Let a, b, c, d, e be five leaves of T and a', b', c', d', e' be the corresponding vertices of G, respectively. Let P_{ae} and P_{bd} be the longest path in T_{abcde} and T_{bcd} , respectively. Then any vertex x' in G that is adjacent to a', c', e' must be adjacent to b' or d'.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 3 we construct a graph G_1 with nine vertices that is not a PCG. In Section 4 we prove that the graph obtained by deleting a vertex of degree three from G_1 is not a PCG. In Section 5 we construct a planar graph that is not a PCG. In Section 6 we prove the NP-hardness result. Finally, Section 7 concludes the paper.

3 Not all 9-Vertex Graphs are PCGs

In this section we construct a graph G_1 of nine vertices that is not a PCG. Here we describe an outline of the construction.

We use three lemmas to construct G_1 . In Lemma 3 we prove that for a cycle a',b',c',d' of four vertices, $d_T(a,c)$ and $d_T(b,d)$ cannot be both greater than d_{max} . We then construct a graph H with six vertices a',b',c',d',i',j' such that each pair of vertices in H are adjacent except the pairs (a',c'), (b',d'), (i',d'), (j',b'), (j',b'), (i',j'), as shown in Figure 2(c). Using Lemma 3 we prove in Lemma 4 that at least one of $d_T(a,c), d_T(b,d), d_T(i,d), d_T(j,b), d_T(i,j)$ must be greater than d_{max} . In Lemma 5 we prove that any PCG that contains H as an induced subgraph must satisfy the inequality $d_T(a,c) < d_{min}$, where a' and c' are the only vertices of degree four in H. We add three vertices k', u', v' to H to construct G_1 , as shown in Figure 2(d). In Theorem 1 we show that for every non-adjacent pair (x', y') in H, the graph G_1 contains an induced subgraph isomorphic to H that contains x' and y' as its degree four vertices. By Lemma 5, $d_T(x, y) < d_{min}$.

The following lemma proves that for a cycle a', b', c', d' of four vertices, $d_T(a, c)$ and $d_T(b, d)$ cannot be both greater than d_{max} . We omit its proof due to space constraints.

4



Fig. 2. (a) An edge weighted tree T. (b) T_{abe} . (c) Illustration for H. (d) G_1 .

Lemma 3. Let $G = PCG(T, d_{min}, d_{max})$, which is a cycle a', b', c', d' of four vertices. Let a, b, c, d be the leaves of T that correspond to the vertices a', b', c', d' of G, respectively. Then $d_T(a, c)$ and $d_T(b, d)$ cannot be both greater than d_{max} .

We now construct a graph H with six vertices a', b', c', d', i', j' such that each pair of vertices in H are adjacent except the pairs (a', c'), (b', d'), (i', d'),(j', b'), (i', j'), as shown in Figure 2(c). The following lemma proves that at least one of $d_T(a, c), d_T(b, d), d_T(i, d), d_T(j, b), d_T(i, j)$ must be greater than d_{max} .

Lemma 4. Let $H = PCG(T, d_{min}, d_{max})$. Let a, b, c, d, i, j be the leaves of T that correspond to the vertices a', b', c', d', i', j' of H. Then at least one of $d_T(a, c)$, $d_T(b, d), d_T(i, d), d_T(j, b), d_T(i, j)$ must be greater than d_{max} .

Proof. For each pair $(x', y') \in \{(a', c'), (b', d'), (i', d'), (j', b'), (i', j')\}, x' \text{ and } y'$ are non-adjacent in *H*. Therefore, either $d_T(x, y) < d_{min}$ or $d_T(x, y) > d_{max}$.

If one of $d_T(a, c), d_T(b, d), d_T(i, d), d_T(j, b)$ is greater than d_{max} , then the lemma holds irrespective of whether $d_T(i, j) < d_{min}$ or $d_T(i, j) > d_{max}$. We thus assume that each of $d_T(a, c), d_T(b, d), d_T(i, d), d_T(j, b)$ is less than d_{min} , and then prove that $d_T(i, j)$ must be greater than d_{max} .

Suppose for a contradiction that $d_T(i,j) < d_{min}$. Recall that we assumed $d_T(j,b) < d_{min}$. Consequently, since i' and b' are adjacent in H, the path P_{ib} must be the longest path T_{ijb} . By Lemma 1, $d_T(j,d) \leq d_T(i,d)$ or $d_T(j,d) \leq d_T(b,d)$. Since we assumed that $d_T(i,d) < d_{min}$ and $d_T(b,d) < d_{min}$, the inequality $d_T(j,d) < d_{min}$ holds. But this contradicts that j', d' are adjacent in G. Therefore, $d_T(i,j)$ must be greater than d_{max} .

In the following lemma we prove that any PCG that contains H as an induced subgraph must satisfy the inequality $d_T(a, c) < d_{min}$, where a' and c' are the only vertices of degree four in H.

Lemma 5. Let $G = PCG(T, d_{min}, d_{max})$ be a graph that contains an induced subgraph G' isomorphic to H. Let a, b, c, d, i, j be the leaves of T that correspond to the vertices a', b', c', d', i', j' of G'. Let a' and c' be the vertices of degree four in G'. Then $d_T(a, c)$ must be less than d_{min} .

Proof. Since a', c' are non-adjacent in G', either $d_T(a, c) < d_{min}$ or $d_T(a, c) > d_{max}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $d_T(a, c) > d_{max}$.

On Graphs that are not PCGs

5

Since the subgraph induced by a', b', c', d' is a cycle, by Lemma 3, $d_T(b', d') < d_{min}$. Again, since the subgraph induced by a', i', c', d' is a cycle, by Lemma 3, $d_T(i', d') < d_{min}$. Consequently, P_{bi} is the longest path in T_{ibd} . Observe that we assumed $d_T(a, c) > d_{max}$. On the other hand, for each pair $(x', y') \in \{(a', b'), (a', d'), (a', i'), (b', d'), (b', c'), (b', i'), (c', d'), (c', i'), (d', i')\}, d_T(x, y) \leq d_{max}$. Therefore, P_{ac} is the longest path in T_{abcdi} . By Lemma 2, any vertex j' in G' that is adjacent to a', c', d' must be adjacent to i' or b'. Although j' is adjacent to a', c', d' in G, neither i' nor b' is adjacent to j', a contradiction.

We now add three vertices k', u', v' to H to construct G_1 , as shown in Figures 3(a)–(b). In the following theorem we show that G_1 is not a PCG.

Theorem 1. G_1 is not a PCG.

Proof. For every non-adjacent pair (x', y') in H, the graph G_1 contains an induced subgraph isomorphic to H that contains x' and y' as its degree four vertices, as shown in Figures 3(c)-(g). By Lemma 5, $d_T(x, y) < d_{min}$. This contradicts Lemma 4 that says there exists a non-adjacent pair (x', y') in H such that $d_T(x, y) > d_{max}$. Consequently, G cannot be a PCG.



Fig. 3. (a) *H*. (b) G_1 . (c)–(g) Five induced subgraphs of *G*, when (c) $d_T(a,c) > d_{max}$, (d) $d_T(b,d) > d_{max}$, (e) $d_T(i,d) > d_{max}$, (f) $d_T(j,b) > d_{max}$, (g) $d_T(i,j) > d_{max}$.

4 Not all 8-Vertex Graphs are PCGs

In this section we analyze the structure of the graph G_1 , and modify it to obtain a graph of eight vertices that is not a PCG.

We refer the reader to Figure 3. Observe that G_1 has only one vertex of degree three, i.e., vertex k'. The proof of Theorem 1 refers to vertex k' only in the case when $d_T(a,c) > d_{max}$, as shown in Figure 3(c). This observation inspired us to

 $\mathbf{6}$

examine whether the graph $G_1 \setminus k'$ is a PCG or not. In this section we denote the graph $G_1 \setminus k'$, shown in Figure 4(a), by G_2 and prove that G_2 is not a PCG. The following lemma will be useful to prove the main result.

Lemma 6. Let $G = PCG(T, d_{min}, d_{max})$ be a graph of four vertices a', b', c', d'and two edges (a', b') and (c'd'). Let a, b, c, d be the leaves of T that correspond to the vertices a', b', c', d' of G, respectively. Then at least one of $d_T(a, d), d_T(b, d),$ $d_T(b, c), d_T(a, c)$ must be greater than d_{max} .

Proof. Since every pair of vertices among (a', d'), (b', d'), (b', c'), (a', c') are nonadjacent in G, each of $d_T(a, d)$, $d_T(b, d)$, $d_T(b, c)$, $d_T(a, c)$ is either greater than d_{max} or less than d_{min} . Suppose for a contradiction that $d_T(a, d)$, $d_T(b, d)$, $d_T(b, c)$, $d_T(a, c)$ are less than d_{min} .

Since a' and b' are adjacent and $d_T(a,c), d_T(b,c)$ are less than d_{min}, P_{ab} must be the longest path in T_{abc} . By Lemma 1, $d_T(c,d) \leq d_T(a,d)$ or $d_T(c,d) \leq d_T(b,d)$. By assumption, both $d_T(a,d)$ and $d_T(b,d)$ are less than d_{min} . Therefore, $d_T(c,d) < d_{min}$, which contradicts that c' and d' are adjacent in G.

We now use Lemma 6 to obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. Let $G_2 = PCG(T, d_{min}, d_{max})$ and let a, b, c, d, i, j, u, v be the leaves of T that correspond to the vertices a', b', c', d', i', j', u', v' of G_2 . Then (a) at least one of $d_T(u, v), d_T(a, v), d_T(a, c), d_T(u, c)$ must be greater than d_{max} , and (b) at least one of $d_T(b, j), d_T(b, d), d_T(i, d), d_T(i, j)$ must be greater than d_{max} .



Fig. 4. (a) G_2 . (b) Another drawing of G_2 . (c) Illustration for ((w', x'), (y', z')), where (w', x') and (y', z') are shown in dashed lines and dotted lines, respectively. (d) ((w', x'), (y', z')) = ((u', v'), (b', j')).

Theorem 2. G_2 is not a PCG.

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $G_2 = PCG(T, d_{min}, d_{max})$, where a, b, c, d, i, j, u, v are the leaves of T that correspond to the vertices a', b', c', d', i', j', u', v' of G_2 . Observe that for any ((w', x'), (y', z')), where $(w', x') \in \{(u', v'), (a', v'), (a', c'), (u', c')\}$ and $(y', z') \in \{(b', j'), (b', d'), (i', d'), (i', j')\}$, the vertices $\{w', x', y', z'\}$ induce a cycle C such that w', x' and y', z' are non-adjacent in C. Figures 4(b)–(d) illustrate this scenario. By Corollary 1, for some ((w', x'), (y', z')), both $d_T(w, x)$ and $d_T(y, z)$ are greater than d_{max} . This contradicts Lemma 3 since the vertices $\{w', x', y', z'\}$ induce a cycle. □

7

5 Not all Planar Graphs are PCGs

In this section we prove that the planar graph G_p , shown in Figure 5(a), is not a PCG.



Fig. 5. (a) G_p . (b) Illustration for the proof of Theorem 3. The graphs isomorphic to H are shown in bold lines $(d_T(b,c) > d_{max})$, regular dashed lines $(d_T(a,c) > d_{max})$, regular dotted lines $(d_T(b,d) > d_{max})$ and irregular dashed lines $(d_T(a,d) > d_{max})$.

Theorem 3. G_p is not a PCG.

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $G_p = PCG(T, d_{min}, d_{max})$, where a, b, \ldots, s, t are the leaves of T that correspond to the vertices a', b', \ldots, s', t' of G_p .

Since the subgraph induced by a', b', c', d' consists of exactly two edges (a', b')and (c', d'), by Lemma 6, at least one of $d_T(a, d), d_T(b, d), d_T(b, c), d_T(a, c)$ must be greater than d_{max} . For any pair $(x', y') \in \{(a', d'), (b', d'), (b', c'), (a', c')\}$, there exists an induced subgraph in G_p that is isomorphic to H (i.e., the graph of Figure 3(c)) that contains x' and y' as its degree four vertices. By Lemma 5, $d_T(x, y) < d_{min}$, which contradicts that at least one of $d_T(a, d), d_T(b, d), d_T(b, c),$ $d_T(a, c)$ must be greater than d_{max} . Consequently, G_p cannot be a PCG. \Box

Observe that G_p has twenty vertices. However, the proof of Theorem 3 holds even for the planar graph obtained from G_p by merging the pair of vertices (e', t'), (h', i'), (l', m'), (p', q') and then removing the resulting multi-edges. Therefore, there exists a planar graph with sixteen vertices that is not a PCG. We omit the details due to space constraints.

6 NP-hardness

In this section we examine a generalized PCG recognition problem that given a graph G and a set $S \subseteq \overline{E}^1$, asks to determine a PCG $G' = (T, d_{min}, d_{max})$ that

¹ \overline{E} is the set of edges of the complement graph of G.

contains G as a subgraph² but does not contain any edge of S. Observe that if $S = \overline{E}$, then the problem asks to decide whether G is a PCG. We prove that the generalized PCG recognition problem is NP-hard if we require the maximum number of edges of S to have weighted tree distance greater than d_{max} between their corresponding leaves. A decision version of the problem is as follows.

$\mathbf{Problem}: \mathrm{Max}\text{-}\mathrm{Generalized}\text{-}\mathrm{PCG}\text{-}\mathrm{Recognition}$

Instance : A graph G, a subset S of the edges of its complement graph, and a positive integer k.

Question : Is there a PCG $G' = PCG(T, d_{min}, d_{max})$ such that G' contains G as a subgraph², but does not contain any edge of S; and at least k edges of S have distance greater than d_{max} between their corresponding leaves in T?

We prove the NP-hardness of MAX-GENERALIZED-PCG-RECOGNITION by reduction form MONOTONE-ONE-IN-THREE-3-SAT [11].

Problem : MONOTONE-ONE-IN-THREE-3-SAT

Instance : A set U of variables and a collection C of clauses over U such that each clause consists of exactly three non-negated literals.

Question : Is there a satisfying truth assignment for U such that each clause in C contains exactly one true literal?

Given an instance I(U, C) of MONOTONE-ONE-IN-THREE-3-SAT, we construct an instance I(G, S, k) of MAX-GENERALIZED-PCG-RECOGNITION such that I(U, C) has an affirmative answer if and only if I(G, S, k) has an affirmative answer. The idea of the reduction is as follows. Given an edge weighted tree Twith n leaves, $d_{min} = 0$ and $d_{max} = +\infty$, the corresponding PCG is a complete graph K_n of n vertices. Observe that as the interval $[d_{min}, d_{max}]$ begins to shrink, more and more edges of K_n disappear. Some edges disappear due to the increase of d_{min} and some other edges disappear due to the decrease of d_{max} . We use these two events to set the truth values of the literals.

Let G_{not} be the graph of Figure 6(a). The following lemma shows how to use this graph as a NOT gate.

Lemma 7. Assume that $G_{not} = PCG(T, d_{min}, d_{max})$, where a, b, \ldots, q are the leaves of T that correspond to the vertices a', b', \ldots, q' of G_{not} . Then $d_T(a, b) < d_{min}$ if and only if $d_T(c, d) > d_{max}$.

Proof. By Lemma 6, one of $d_T(e,g), d_T(e,h), d_T(f,g), d_T(f,h)$ must be greater than d_{max} . Observe that for any pair $(x,y) \in \{(e',g'), (e',h'), (f',g'), (f',h')\}$, the vertices b', x', d', y' form an induced cycle. Therefore, by Lemma 3, $d_T(b,d) < d_{min}$. Similarly, we can prove that $d_T(a,q) < d_{min}$ and $d_T(c,q) < d_{min}$. Since a', c', b', q', d' induce a cycle of five vertices, one of $d_T(a,b), d_T(c,d), d_T(a,q), d_T(c,q), d_T(b,d)$ is greater than d_{max} [5, Lemma 2]. Since $d_T(a,q), d_T(c,q), d_T(b,d)$ are less than d_{min} , one of or both $d_T(a,b)$ and $d_T(c,d)$ are greater than d_{max} .

Without loss of generality assume that $d_T(a,b) > d_{max}$. Then by Lemma 1, $d_T(c,d) \le d_T(a,d)$ or $d_T(c,d) \le d_T(b,d)$. Since $d_T(a,d) \le d_{max}$ and $d_T(b,d) < d_T(b,d) < d_T(b,d) \le d_T(b,d)$.

² Not necessarily an induced subgraph.

 $d_{min} \leq d_{max}, d_T(c,d)$ must be less than d_{min} . Similarly, we can prove that if $d_T(c,d) > d_{max}$, then $d_T(a,b) < d_{min}$.



Fig. 6. (a) G_{not} , and its hypothetical representation. (b) $G_{not} = PCG(T, 7, 11)$. (c) Simplified representation of T.

Properties of G_{not} . The vertices a, b and c, d play the role of the input and output of a NOT gate, respectively. Figure 6(b) illustrates a pairwise compatibility tree T, where $G_{not} = PCG(T, 7, 11)$ and $d_T(a, b) > d_{max}$. Observe that once we construct the tree T_{abqcd} , it becomes straightforward to add the trees T_{efgh}, T_{ijkl} and T_{mnop} . Therefore, in the rest of this section we only consider the simplified representation for T, as shown in Figure 6(c). We can cascade several NOT gates to duplicate or invert the input, but we omit the details due to space constraints.

In the reduction, all the edges of $\overline{G_{not}}$ will belong to S. Every G_{not} has 101 non-adjacent pairs, and by construction, in any pairwise compatibility tree T' of G_{not} , $d_{T'}(a,q)$, $d_{T'}(c,q)$, $d_{T'}(b,d)$ and one of $d_{T'}(a,b)$, $d_{T'}(c,d)$ must be less than d_{min} . Therefore, at most 97 edges of $\overline{G_{not}}$ can have distance greater than d_{max} between their corresponding leaves in T'. Since the tree T, shown in Figure 6(b), determines 97 such edges, it maximizes the number of edges of $\overline{G_{not}}$ that have distance greater than d_{max} between their corresponding leaves.

Gadget. Each literal gadget consists of a pair of non-adjacent vertices. Every edge determined by these two vertices, belongs to S. We say that a literal (or, any non-adjacent pair of vertices) (a', b') is true if and only if $d_T(a, b) > d_{max}$; otherwise, it is false.

Every clause gadget G_{clause} , as shown in Figure 7(a), corresponds to a logic circuit L that is consistent if and only if at most one of its three inputs is true. The three pairs of vertices (a', b'), (c', d'), and (e', f') of G_{clause} play the role of the inputs. For each pair of inputs, e.g., $((a', b'), (c', d')), G_{clause}$ contains a G_{not} such that the ports o'_1, o'_2 of G_{not} form a cycle with a', b', and the ports o'_3, o'_4 of G_{not} form a cycle with c', d'. In the following we show that L is consistent if and only if at most one input is true.

Suppose for a contradiction that at least two of the three inputs, without loss of generality (a', b') and (c', d'), are true. Since (a', b') is true, by Lemma 3,

10 S. Durocher, D. Mondal, and M.S. Rahman



Fig. 7. (a) A clause gadget G_{clause} . (b) Simplified representation of a pairwise compatibility tree T that determines the truth values of its literals. Here, (a', b'), (c', d') and (e', f') correspond to the values true, false and false, respectively. (c)–(e) Subtrees of T that correspond to a G_{not} and its associated literal gadgets.

 (o'_1, o'_2) must be false. Consequently, (o'_3, o'_4) must be true. Since c', o'_3, d', o'_4 induce a cycle, by Lemma 3, (c', d') must be false, a contradiction.

Assume now that at most one of the three inputs is true. In this case, we show how to construct a pairwise compatibility tree such that the corresponding PCG G'_{clause} contains G_{clause} as a subgraph. Without loss of generality assume that (a', b') is true. (The construction when when all the inputs are false are similar.) Construct an edge weighted tree T as illustrated in Figure 7(b). Observe that $d_T(c, d) < d_{min}, d_T(e, f) < d_{min}$ and $d_T(a, b) > d_{max}$, which implies that (c', d'), (e', f') are false and (a', b') is true. We call r, s, t the medial path of T. Figure 7(c)–(e) illustrates how to add the subtrees (shown in thin lines) that correspond to the G_{not} s to T. These trees not only realize the G_{not} s, but also determine the cycles that are incident to the inputs of the clause gadget.

We now have the following theorem. We omit the details due to space constraints.

Theorem 4. MAX-GENERALIZED-PCG-RECOGNITION is NP-hard.

Proof (Outline). Given an instance I(U, C) of MONOTONE-ONE-IN-THREE-3-SAT, we construct a corresponding instance I(G, S, k) of MAX-GENERALIZED-PCG-RECOGNITION in polynomial time by constructing a clause gadget for each clause, and duplicating the literals that occurs in multiple clauses by cascading NOT gates, as illustrated in Figure 8(a). The set S consists of the edges of $\overline{G_{not}}$ s and the edges that are determined by the literal gadgets. Let N and t' be the number of NOT gates and clauses, respectively. We set k = 97N + t'.



Fig. 8. (a) The graph G that correspond to the instance $I(U, C) = (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \land (x_4 \lor x_2 \lor x_5)$, where x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 correspond to (a', b'), (c', d'), (e', f'), (g', h'), (i', j'), respectively. (b)–(c) Compatibility trees for the clauses, where the literals except x_1 and x_2 are false. (d) Merging the medial paths. (e)–(f) Compatibility trees for G_{nots} that propagate the truth value from (c', d') to (k', l'). (g) A compatibility tree of G'. The edges with weights 1, 2 and 4 are shown in dotted, dashed and solid lines, respectively.

Assume first that I(U, C) has an affirmative answer. For each clause, we construct an edge weighted tree as shown in Figure 7(a). We then merge the medial paths of these trees, as shown in Figures 8(b)–(d). Finally, we add the subtrees that correspond to the G_{not} s that we used to duplicate (or, propagate) the input values, as depicted in Figures 8(e)–(g). Let G' be the PCG of the resulting tree. G' contains G as a subgraph since we constructed T using the trees for the basic gadgets. G' does not contain any edge of S since every redundant edge of G' lie between different G_{not} s, or different literal gadgets, or between a G_{not} and a literal gadget. Finally, there are 97 edges in each $\overline{G_{not}}$ that contribute to k.

Assume now that I(U, C) does not have any affirmative answer. Since each $\overline{G_{not}}$ can have at most 97 edges that contribute to k, at least t' edges that contribute to k must come from the literal gadgets. Since no two literal gadget that lie in the same clause can be true, each clause must have at least one true literal, which contradicts that I(U, C) does not have any affirmative answer. \Box

7 Conclusion

We have constructed a nonplanar graph with eight vertices that is not a PCG, but the graph is not split matrogenic. Therefore, the question of Calamoneri et al. [5] of whether every split matrogenic is a PCG remains open. We also construct a planar graph that is not a PCG, but the graph is not outerplanar. Since every triangle-free outerplanar graph with degree at most three is a PCG [10], an interesting question is whether there exists any outerplanar graph that is not a PCG. An important open problem that remains is to determine the complexity of the (original, or generalized) PCG recognition problem.

References

- 1. Brandstädt, A., Hundt, C., Mancini, F., Wagner, P.: Rooted directed path graphs are leaf powers. Discrete Mathematics 310(4), 897–910 (2010)
- Brandstädt, A., Le, V.B., Rautenbach, D.: Exact leaf powers. Theoretical Computer Science 411(31–33), 2968–2977 (2010)
- Brandstädt, A., Wagner, P.: Characterising (k, l)-leaf powers. Discrete Applied Mathematics 158(2), 110–122 (2010)
- Calamoneri, T., Frascaria, D., Sinaimeri, B.: All graphs with at most seven vertices are pairwise compatibility graphs. The Computer Journal (2012, To appear), http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.4631
- Calamoneri, T., Petreschi, R., Sinaimeri, B.: On relaxing the constraints in pairwise compatibility graphs. In: Proceedings of the 6th International Workshop on Algorithms and Computation (WALCOM), Bangladesh. LNCS, vol. 7157, pp. 124–135. Springer (February 15-17 2012)
- Fellows, M.R., Meister, D., Rosamond, F.A., Sritharan, R., Telle, J.A.: Leaf powers and their properties: Using the trees. In: Proceedings of the 19th International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation (ISAAC), Australia. LNCS, vol. 5369, pp. 402–413. Springer (2008)
- Kearney, P.E., Munro, J.I., Phillips, D.: Efficient generation of uniform samples from phylogenetic trees. In: Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Algorithms in Bioinformatics (WABI), Budapest, Hungary. LNCS, vol. 2812, pp. 177–189. Springer (September 15-20 2003)
- Kennedy, W.S., Lin, G., Yan, G.: Strictly chordal graphs are leaf powers. Journal of Discrete Algorithms 4(4), 511–525 (2006)
- Nishimura, N., Ragde, P., Thilikos, D.M.: On graph powers for leaf-labeled trees. Journal of Algorithms 42(1), 69–108 (2002)
- Salma, S.A., Rahman, M.S.: Triangle-free outerplanar 3-graphs are pairwise compatibility graphs. In: Proceedings of the 6th International Workshop on Algorithms and Computation (WALCOM), Bangladesh. LNCS, vol. 7157, pp. 112–123. Springer (February 15-17 2012)
- 11. Schaefer, T.J.: The complexity of satisfiability problems. In: Proceedings of Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC 1978). pp. 216–226 (1978)
- 12. Yanhaona, M.N., Bayzid, M.S., Rahman, M.S.: Discovering pairwise compatibility graphs. Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications 2(4), 607–623 (2010)
- Yanhaona, M.N., Hossain, K.S.M.T., Rahman, M.S.: Pairwise compatibility graphs. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing 30(1–2), 479–503 (2009)

¹² S. Durocher, D. Mondal, and M.S. Rahman