

Sample Review Form
CMPT 880/890

Overall Rating

| | |
|---|--|
| 7 | Strong accept: I would argue strongly for accepting this paper. |
| 6 | Accept: I would argue for accepting this paper. |
| 5 | Possibly accept: Possibly above the line, but I wouldn't want it to edge out stronger submissions. |
| 4 | Borderline: Overall I would not argue for accepting or rejecting this paper. |
| 3 | Possibly reject: The submission is weak and probably shouldn't be accepted, but there is some chance it should get in. |
| 2 | Reject: I would argue for rejecting this paper. |
| 1 | Strong reject: I would argue strongly for rejecting this paper. |

Expertise: your expertise in the topic area of this paper.

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| 4 | Expert |
| 3 | Knowledgeable |
| 2 | Passing Knowledge |
| 1 | No Knowledge |

Contribution

State what contribution the paper makes to its field.

The Review

Write your review of the paper here. Please address each of the following issues:

- Significance of the paper's contribution and the benefit that others can gain from the contribution: why do the contribution and benefit matter?
- Relevant previous work, against which this paper's contribution should be assessed
- Validity of the work: how confidently can researchers and practitioners take up the results?
- Originality of the work: what new ideas or approaches are introduced? We want to emphasize that an acceptable paper must make a clear contribution. We value substance and contribution more than formality and perfection.
 - Summarize the review decision, stating the main reasons for your decision
 - Briefly summarize the paper, stating its claimed contribution
 - State the research problem / question and assess its importance and relevance
 - Assess the significance of the paper's contribution
 - Assess the validity of the research
 - Assess the originality of the work and its treatment of related research
 - Assess the presentation of the paper

Areas for Improvement

Identify aspects of the paper's written presentation that need improvement, including:

- statements or passages that could be expressed more clearly and concisely
- figures that are redundant, difficult to understand, or missing
- incomplete or missing references, or citations that lack references
- changes that could make the paper more understandable to an international readership
- problems in formatting, layout, or legibility